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#### Abstract

Recently Schauz and Brink independently extended Chevalley's theorem to polynomials with restricted variables. In this note we give an improvement to SchauzBrink's theorem via the ground field method. The improvement is significant in the cases where the degree of the polynomial is large compared to the weight of the degree of the polynomial.


## 1. Introduction

Chevalley's 1935 theorem [Che35] settled a conjecture of Artin that finite fields are quasialgebraically closed. This was done by proving that a set of polynomials $F_{j}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ without constant terms over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ has a nontrivial common zero $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ if the number of variables $n$ exceeds the sum of total degrees. In general, the determination of the solvability of a system of polynomials equations over subsets of finite fields is a hard problem. Many improvements of Chevalley's theorem have been given throughout the years, most notably by Warning [War35], Ax [Ax64] and Katz [Kat71]. See also [AS87, MSCK04, CCV12]. In this note we improve Schauz-Brink's result for certain types of subsets of finite fields.

Recently Schauz [Sch08] and Brink [Bri11] gave an extension of Chevalley's theorem to polynomials with variables belonging to arbitrary non-empty subsets of a finite field using Alon's Nullstellensatz. Here we apply the ground field method to this result. The improvements are sizable when the degree of the polynomials is large compared to the weight of the degree, as is illustrated in Example 8. (The $k$-ary weight $w_{k}(n)$ of an integer $n$ is the sum of the digits of $n$, when $n$ is written in base $k$.) Our result is the following:

Theorem 1. Let $q=p^{f}$ and $q^{\prime}=p^{e}$ where e $\mid f$. Consider polynomials $F_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots$, $F_{t}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $A_{i h} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $h=1, \ldots, \frac{f}{e}$ and

$$
A_{i}=\left\{\sum_{h=1}^{f / e} a_{i h} \alpha_{h} \mid a_{i h} \in A_{\text {ih }} \text { and }\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\} \text { is a basis of } \mathbb{F}_{q} \text { over } \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Suppose that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{f / e}\left(\left|A_{i h}\right|-1\right)>\frac{f\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{t} w_{q^{\prime}}\left(F_{j}\right) .
$$

Then the solution set $V=\left\{\mathbf{a} \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \mid F_{j}(\mathbf{a})=0 \forall j\right\}$ with variables restricted to the $A_{i}$ 's is not a singleton.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2. Let $q$, $n$ be natural numbers with $q>1$. The $q$-weight of $n$, denoted by $\sigma_{q}(n)$, is the sum of the digits of $n$ in base $q$, i.e., if $n=a_{0}+a_{1} q+\cdots+a_{r} q^{r}$ with $a_{i} \in\{0,1, \ldots, q-1\}$, then $\sigma_{q}(n)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{r} a_{\ell}$.

Example 3. We have $\sigma_{2}(23)=4$, since $23=1+2+2^{2}+2^{4} ; \sigma_{6}(23)=8$ since $23=5+6 \cdot 3$.
Definition 4. Let $F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{r} a_{\ell} X_{1}^{e_{1 \ell}} \cdots X_{n}^{e_{n \ell}}$ be a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q}=\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with $a_{l} \neq 0$. The $q$-weight degree of $f$ is defined by $w_{q}(f)=\max _{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{q}\left(e_{j \ell}\right)$.

Example 5. Let $F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=X_{1}^{2 q+1}+\cdots+X_{n}^{2 q+1}$ be a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q} f$. Then $w_{q}(F)=2$ if $q=2$ and $w_{q}(F)=3$ otherwise.

In [Sch08, Corollary 3.5] and [Bri11, Theorem 1] a version of Chevalley's theorem with restricted variables was presented. We now state the Schauz-Brink theorem.

Theorem 6 (Schauz-Brink). Consider polynomials $F_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots, F_{t}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Suppose that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are non-empty subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|A_{i}\right|-1\right)>(q-1) \sum_{j=1}^{t} \operatorname{deg}\left(F_{j}\right)
$$

Then the solution set $V=\left\{\mathbf{a} \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \mid F_{j}(\mathbf{a})=0 \forall j\right\}$ with the variables restricted to the $A_{i}$ 's is not a singleton.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.
Lemma 7. Let $\left\{F_{j}\right\}_{j=1, \ldots, t}$ be a system of t polynomials in the $n$ variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}=\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$ and let $q^{\prime}=p^{e}$ where $e \mid f$. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{f / e}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$. Let $N\left(\left\{F_{j}\right\}, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ be the number of solutions of the system $F_{j}=0 \forall j$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then there exists a system $\left\{G_{j h}\right\}_{\substack{j=1, \ldots, t \\ h=1, \ldots, f / e}}$ of $\frac{t f}{e}$ polynomials in $\frac{n f}{e}$ variables over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}=\mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}$ given by $F_{j}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{h=1}^{f / e} G_{j h}\left(Y_{11}, Y_{12}, \ldots, Y_{1 \frac{f}{e}}, \ldots, Y_{n 1}, Y_{n 2}, \ldots, Y_{n \frac{f}{e}}\right) \alpha_{h}$, where $X_{i}=$ $\sum_{h=1}^{f / e} Y_{i h} \alpha_{h}$. The system $\left\{G_{j h}\right\}_{\substack{j=1, \ldots, t \\ h=1, \ldots, f / e}}$ is such that $N\left(\left\{F_{j}\right\}, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=N\left(\left\{G_{j h}\right\}, \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}\right)$, where $N\left(\left\{G_{j h}\right\}, \mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}\right)$ is the number of solutions of the system of polynomials $G_{j h}=0 \forall j, h$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{j h}\right) \leq w_{q^{\prime}}\left(F_{j}\right)$.

Proof. In Lemma 1 of [MM95], replace the prime field by $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$.
We now give an example to illustrate how this lemma can be applied to Schauz-Brink's theorem.

Example 8. Let $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$. Then $x^{2}+1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and we have that $1, \alpha$ is a basis for $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, where $\alpha^{2}=-1$. Let $A_{i}=\left\{a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha: a_{i h} \in A_{i h} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p}\right.$ and $\left|A_{i h}\right| \geq$ $1, h=1,2\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Consider the following system polynomial equations

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n} & =0  \tag{1}\\
X_{1}^{2 p+1}+\cdots+X_{n}^{2 p+1} & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

If $X_{i} \in A_{i}$, then $X_{i}=a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha$. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{i}^{2 p+1}=\left(a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha\right)^{2 p+1} & =\left(a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha\right)\left(a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha\right)^{2 p} \\
& =\left(a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha\right)\left(a_{i 1}-a_{i 2} \alpha\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha\right)\left(a_{i 1}^{2}-a_{i 2}^{2}-2 a_{i 1} a_{i 2} \alpha\right) \\
& =a_{i 1}^{3}+a_{i 1} a_{i 2}^{2}+\left(-a_{i 2}^{3}-a_{i 1}^{2} a_{i 2}\right) \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore system (1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i 1}+a_{i 2} \alpha & =0 \\
\sum_{i=}^{n} X_{i}^{2 p+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[a_{i 1}^{3}+a_{i 1} a_{i 2}^{2}+\left(-a_{i 2}^{3}-a_{i 1}^{2} a_{i 2}\right) \alpha\right] & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because 1, $\alpha$ are a basis and hence linearly independent, the number of solutions of system (1) over $A_{1} \times \cdots \times A_{n}$ is equal to the number of solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{1} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i 1}=0 \\
G_{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i 2}=0 \\
G_{3} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i 1}^{3}+a_{i 1} a_{i 2}^{2}\right)=0 \\
G_{4} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(-a_{i 2}^{3}-a_{i 1}^{2} a_{i 2}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

over $\left(A_{11} \times A_{12}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(A_{n 1} \times A_{n 2}\right)$. Using Theorem 6 , we have that system (2) will have $a$ nontrivial solution if

$$
\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(\left|A_{i j}\right|-1\right)>\sum_{t=1}^{4} \operatorname{deg}\left(G_{t}\right)(p-1)=8(p-1)
$$

If $\left|A_{i j}\right|=2$, we will need $n \geq 4(p-1)+1$ to guarantee a nontrivial solution. Using Theorem 6 directly on (1), we would need $n>\frac{2\left(p^{2}-1\right)(p+1)}{3}$. In particular if $p=103$ we need 409 variables to guarantee a nontrivial solution versus the $n=735489$ given by direct application of Theorem 6 .

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the polynomials $F_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots, F_{t}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Choose a basis $\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}$ for $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$. By Lemma 7, a system of $\frac{t f}{e}$ polynomials in $\frac{n f}{e}$ variables defined over the field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ with the number of solutions equal to $N\left(\left\{F_{j}\right\}, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ can be constructed using $\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}$. This system is given by

$$
F_{j}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{h=1}^{f / e} G_{j h}\left(Y_{11}, Y_{12}, \ldots, Y_{1 \frac{f}{e}}, \ldots, Y_{n 1}, Y_{n 2}, \ldots, Y_{n \frac{f}{e}}\right) \alpha_{h}
$$

where $X_{i}=\sum_{h=1}^{f / e} Y_{i h} \alpha_{h}$.
Let $B_{(i-1) \frac{f}{e}+h}=A_{i h}$ for $h=1, \ldots, \frac{f}{e}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{f / e}\left(\left|A_{i h}\right|-1\right)>\frac{f\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{t} w_{q^{\prime}}\left(F_{j}\right)$ we have that

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{n f / e}\left(\left|B_{\ell}\right|-1\right)>\frac{f\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{t} w_{q^{\prime}}\left(F_{j}\right)
$$

By Lemma $7, \operatorname{deg}\left(G_{j h}\right) \leq w_{q^{\prime}}\left(F_{j}\right)$ for $h=1, \ldots, f / e$. Therefore,

$$
\left(q^{\prime}-1\right) \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{h=1}^{f / e} \operatorname{deg}\left(G_{j h}\right) \leq \frac{f\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{t} w_{q^{\prime}}\left(F_{j}\right)
$$

and we obtain

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{n f / e}\left(\left|B_{\ell}\right|-1\right)>\left(q^{\prime}-1\right) \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{h=1}^{f / e} \operatorname{deg}\left(G_{j h}\right)
$$

Applying Theorem 6 to the system $\left\{G_{j h}\right\}_{\substack{j=1, \ldots, t \\ h=1, \ldots, f / e}}$ we get that the solution set

$$
\left\{\mathbf{b} \in \prod_{\ell=1}^{n f / e} B_{\ell} \mid G_{j h}(\mathbf{b})=0 \forall j, h\right\}
$$

with the variables restricted to the $B_{\ell}$ 's is not a singleton and the result follows.

## 4. Related Results

Example 9. Consider the equation $F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=X_{1}^{7}+\cdots+X_{n}^{7}+G\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{7}}$, where $w_{2}(G)<3$ and $G(0, \ldots, 0)=0$. Suppose that $A_{i}=\left\{\sum_{h=1}^{7} a_{i h} \alpha_{h} \mid a_{i h} \in A_{i h} \subseteq\right.$ $\{0,1\}\}$, where $\left|A_{i h}\right| \geq 1$ and $\left|A_{i}\right|=\left|A_{i 1}\right|\left|A_{i 2}\right| \cdots\left|A_{i 7}\right|=2^{4}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Suppose $(0, \ldots, 0) \in \prod A_{i}$. We have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{7}\left(\left|A_{i h}\right|-1\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} 4=4 n$. Now for $n \geq 6$ we have $4 n>7 \cdot 3=21$. Hence $F$ has a nontrivial solution for $n \geq 6$. Using Theorem 6 directly, we would need $n \geq 60$ to guarantee a nontrivial solution.

We now give a corollary for the case when $A_{i h}=\{0,1\}$.
Corollary 10. Consider the polynomial $F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Suppose that

$$
A_{i}=\left\{\sum_{h=1}^{f} a_{i h} \alpha_{h} \mid a_{i h} \in A_{i h}=\{0,1\}\right\},
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and that $\left\{\alpha_{h}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then the solution set $V=\{\mathbf{a} \in$ $\left.\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \mid F(\mathbf{a})=0\right\}$ with variables restricted to the $A_{i}$ 's is not a singleton whenever $n>$ $(p-1) w_{p}(F)$.
Proof. Consider the polynomial $F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{f}}$. We have that $A_{i}=\left\{\sum_{h=1}^{f} a_{i h} \alpha_{h} \mid a_{i h} \in\right.$ $\left.A_{i h}=\{0,1\}\right\}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Applying Theorem 1 we require $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{h=1}^{f}\left(\left|A_{i h}\right|-1\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f=n f>f(p-1) w_{p}(F)$. Hence $V$ is not a singleton for $n>(p-1) w_{p}(F)$.

Corollary 11. Let $q=p^{f}$ and $q^{\prime}=p^{e}$ with $e \mid f$. Let $A_{i}$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ for $i=1 \ldots, n$ and $F_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right), \ldots, F_{t}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then $V=\{\mathbf{a} \in$ $\left.\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \mid F_{j}(\mathbf{a})=0 \forall j\right\}$ is not a singleton whenever

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left|A_{i}\right|-1\right)>\frac{f\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{t} w_{q^{\prime}}\left(F_{j}\right) .
$$

Proof. In Theorem 1 take $A_{i h}=\{0\}$ for $h>1$ and choose $\alpha_{1}=1$. Then $A_{i 1}=A_{i}$. Since $\left|A_{i h}\right|-1=0$ for $h>1$, the result follows.
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